

REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Date of entry in Register: 20 July 1998
Name of applicant/court: The District Court at Blenheim
Applicant to the Labelling Body: Not Applicable
Title of Publication: Lolita 2
Other Known Titles: Climax De Luxe, Lolita 2 (Exhibit 48)
Director: Not Applicable
Producer: Not Applicable
Publisher: Film-Lab A.S
Author: Not Applicable
Format: Video Slick
Country of Origin: Denmark
Language: German, English

.....
..

Components of film originally examined:

Feature:	Not Applicable	Running time:
Trailers:		Running time:
		Total Running time:

Excision/Alteration: Not Applicable.

Reason(s) for Excision:

Not Applicable.

Classification Decision:

Objectionable.

Display Conditions:

Not Applicable.

... Please turn over

Descriptive Note:

Not Applicable.

.....
..

Direction to issue a label has been given on Not Applicable

.....
..

SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR DECISION:

The Classification Office classifies the video slick *Lolita 2* as:
Objectionable.

The video slick tends to support the exploitation of young persons for sexual purposes, and therefore falls under the deeming provision s3(2)(a) of the Films, Videos, and Publications Classification Act 1993 (FVPC Act).

As advertising, the video slick is designed to be exciting and appealing to the viewer. The front cover of the slick contains a large photograph of a young woman whose appearance is consistent with that of a teenage girl. Above this the title, *Lolita 2*, is printed prominently. The term 'Lolita' popularly refers to sexually precocious, desirable, under-age girls. Viewed together, the title and photograph cater to those with a sexual interest in girls, and the slick as a whole encourages that interest.

An objectionable classification on this video slick places a limit on s14 of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990 (NZBR Act), which protects the freedom to seek, receive, and impart information and opinions of any kind in any form. The video slick falls under s3(2)(a) of the FVPC Act. This provision overrides s14 of the NZBR Act because it is a deeming provision which cannot be given a meaning that is consistent with the rights and freedoms in the NZBR Act.

OFLC Ref: 9800212